Acta Cryst. (1984). C40, 806-811

# The Structure of Two Yellow Tris(2,2'-bipyridine)iridium(III) Complexes: Bis(2,2'-bipyridine-N,N')( $NH^+$ -2,2'-bipyridinium-3-yl-N')iridium(III) Trisperchlorate–Water (1/1), [Ir( $C_{10}H_8N_2$ )\_3](ClO<sub>4</sub>)\_3.H<sub>2</sub>O, and Tris(2,2'-bipyridine-N,N')iridium(III) Trisperchlorate–Water (1/2 $\frac{1}{3}$ ), [Ir( $C_{10}H_8N_2$ )\_3](ClO<sub>4</sub>)\_3.2 $\frac{1}{3}H_2O$

## BY ALAN C. HAZELL AND RITA GRØNBÆK HAZELL

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Århus University, DK-8000 Århus C, Denmark

(Received 18 October 1983; accepted 18 January 1984)

Abstract.  $[Ir(bpy-C^3,N')(bpy)_2](ClO_4)_3$ . H<sub>2</sub>O (bpy-C<sup>3</sup>,N'  $= NH^+-2,2'$ -bipyridinium-3-yl-N', bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine-N,N'):  $M_r = 977 \cdot 2$ , monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ , a =16.700 (5), b = 16.279 (5), c = 13.600 (6) Å,  $\beta =$ V = 3499 (2) Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_x =$  $108.86(4)^{\circ}$ , Z = 4,1.855 (1) Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, Mo Ka,  $\lambda = 0.71069$  Å,  $\hat{\mu} = 4.104$  (3) mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 1920, room temperature, R = 0.031 for 2531 reflexions  $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$  and 514 variables. Iridium is bonded to two bipyridine groups via the nitrogen atoms, the bipyridiniumyl group is bonded via one nitrogen and one carbon atom. The non-coordinating nitrogen atom is hydrogen bonded to a water molecule which is in the plane of the ligand. The carbon-bonded bipyridiniumyl ligand is disordered. The mean Ir-N distance is 2.082 (5) Å for N trans to C, and 2.042(4) Å for the others; the value for the long bonds is the average of a normal Ir-N bond and of one *trans* to C.  $[Ir(bpy)_3](ClO_4)_3, 2\frac{1}{3}H_2O: M_r = 1001 \cdot 1,$ trigonal, R3c, a = 30.370 (11), c = 20.922 (8) Å (hexagonal setting),  $V = 16705 (12) \text{ Å}^3$ , Z = 18,  $D_x =$ 1.791 (1) Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, Mo K $\alpha$ ,  $\lambda = 0.71069$  Å,  $\mu =$  $3.87(3) \text{ mm}^{-1}$ , F(000) = 8880, room temperature, R = 0.040 for 2870 reflexions  $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$  and 490 variables. The iridium atom is coordinated via the nitrogen atoms to all three bipyridine groups, with mean Ir-N distance 2.021 (6) Å.

**Introduction.** Polypyridyl complexes of the platinumgroup metals are currently of interest because of their potential use as solar-energy converters. Tris(bipyridine)iridium(III) perchlorate was first described by Martin & Waind (1958) as an orange compound. Later, Flynn & Demas (1974) isolated a pale yellow complex, *Y*, which was formulated as  $[Ir(bpy)_3](ClO_4)_3.3H_2O$ ; the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum resembled that of the Os and Fe tris(bipyridine) complexes, and the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum showed five lines corresponding to the five distinct carbon atoms of a  $D_3$ -symmetry tris complex (Fig. 1*a*). Watts, Harrington & Van Houton (1977) prepared another pale yellow complex which analysed as a tris(bipyridine) complex but which differed in many respects from *Y*. The complex is a monobasic acid with

0108-2701/84/050806-06\$01.50

pK = 3.0. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (Kahl, Hanck & DeArmond, 1979) of both the acid form, WA, and the base form, WB, differ from that of Y. Both Y and WA are highly luminescent, and photosensitize the conversion of norbornadiene to quadricyclene (Kutal, 1978), WA doing so with high efficiency (quantum yield 0.7 at 366 nm). Watts, Harrington & Van Houton (1977) proposed structures with a monodentate bipyridine ligand, and H<sub>2</sub>O or OH<sup>-</sup> bound directly to iridium (Fig. 1b) and suggested that the analogous ruthenium compound was an intermediate in the photolysis of [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>. Gillard, Lancashire & Williams (1979), however, claimed WA to be a covalent hydrate (Fig. 1c). <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy (Spellane & Watts, 1981) showed no evidence for the tetrahedral carbon atoms which the covalent hydrate would require.





Fig. 1. (a) Y, (b) Watts, Harrington & van Houton's (1977) model for WA, (c) Gillard, Lancashire & Williams's (1979) model for WA, (d) disordered model for WA, this work.

© 1984 International Union of Crystallography

A crystal structure determination (Hazell, 1981) showed WA to have neither of these structures but to contain apparently normal tris(bipyridine)iridium(III) cations. The most obvious unusual feature was a water molecule in the plane of one bipyridine ligand, 3 A from C(3) and C(3'). Wickramasinghe, Bird & Serpone (1981), henceforth referred to as WBS, published an independent study of WA obtaining similar results. According to them one bipyridine was rotated about the central bond so that it was bonded to iridium via one nitrogen and one carbon. The close proximity of the water molecule could then be explained by N-H...O hydrogen bonding and the acid-base behaviour by the removal or addition of a proton to the nitrogen which was not bonded to iridium. No crystallographic evidence was presented by WBS for there being a carbon atom attached to iridium.

A crystallographic and spectroscopic investigation (Nord, Hazell, Hazell & Farver, 1983) of a red iridium(bipyridine) complex showed it to contain  $[Ir(bpy^{-}-C^{3},N')(bpy)_{2}]^{2+}$ ,\* *i.e.* the base form, WB, of Watts's compound. The structure contains three independent complex ions, each iridium being bonded to five nitrogens and one carbon. The evidence for Ir-C bonding is: (1) Refinement assuming all pyridine rings to be bonded via nitrogen gave abnormal thermal parameters for N(1) and C(3) of one ring per complex (Fig. 2a). Refinement with one carbon bonded to iridium gave normal thermal parameters. (2) The Ir-Cbonds, 1.980 (6) Å, were shorter than the normal Ir–N bonds, 2.053 (5) Å. Furthermore, the bonds trans to Ir-C were longer,  $2 \cdot 131$  (5) Å, in accordance with the expected *trans* effect of the C-bonded pyridine. (3) The geometry of the pyridine rings also fitted with one ring being bonded *via* carbon. Since we have no reason for believing that our data for WA were any worse than those of the red complex, we have re-examined our data applying the above criteria and have also considered the possibility of disorder.

The sample of the red complex contained a few crystals of a yellow impurity. The crystal structure of this has also been determined showing it to be the normal tris complex  $[Ir(bpy)_3](ClO_4)_3$  with  $2\frac{1}{3}$  waters of crystallization, probably identical to Y as prepared by Flynn & Demas (1974).

**Experimental.** WA: unit-cell dimensions determined from setting angles of 20 reflexions,  $18 \le \theta \le 32^\circ$ , Cu Ka radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å); 3286 reflexions,  $0 \le h \le 16$ ,  $0 \le k \le 15$ ,  $-12 \le l \le 12$ ,  $\sin\theta/\lambda_{max} =$ 0.48 Å<sup>-1</sup>, Picker FACS-1 diffractometer, Zr-filtered Mo Ka radiation, 2531  $I > 3\sigma(I)$ ; 2 reflexions, 400 and 041, monitored every 60 reflexions, overall decay in intensity 2.2%;  $\omega - 2\theta$  step scan, the extent of the peaks being determined by the  $\sigma(I)/I$  criterion

(Lehmann & Larsen, 1974); crystal described by the following faces and their distances in mm from an arbitrary origin:  $\{110\}, \pm 0.117; \{110\}, \pm 0.175;$  $\{001\}, \pm 0.205$ ; intensities processed and corrected for absorption using modified versions of *PROFILE*, DATAP and DSORT (State University of New York, Buffalo): transmission factors 0.27 to 0.44. Y: unit-cell dimensions determined from setting angles of 15 reflexions,  $12 \le \theta \le 23^\circ$ , Cu Ka radiation; 4879 reflexions,  $-24 \le h_m \le 20, \ 0 \le k_m \le 29, \ 0 \le l_m \le 12$  (indices refer to the monoclinic cell),  $\sin\theta/\lambda_{\rm max} = 0.54 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ , Picker FACS-1 diffractometer, Zr-filtered Mo Ka radiation, 2870  $I > 3\sigma(I)$ ; 2 reflexions,  $\overline{8}40$  and  $0\overline{3}\overline{6}$ , monitored every 60 reflexions, overall decay in intensity 6.5%; crystal used was an irregular lump with max. dimensions  $0.35 \times 0.35 \times 0.41$  mm, no absorption correction. Structure of WA determined by Patterson and Fourier methods, refined by least squares (on F) using a modified version of ORFLS (Busing, Martin & Levy, 1962), hydrogen atoms located from difference map but constrained to calculated positions, 1 perchlorate [Cl(3)] disordered and refined with 8 half oxygens; refinements were carried out for the following possibilities: all bipyridines bonded to iridium via N, ring 1 bonded via C, ring 2 bonded via C, both 1 and 2 bonded via C, atoms 1 and 3 of rings 1 and 2 having scattering factors which were the mean of those for C and N; fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic thermal parameters for the disordered structure are given in Table 1;\* R(F) = 0.031, wR(F) = 0.038 for 514 variables and 2531 reflexions, S = 1.28,  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.07, \ \Delta\rho \text{ from } -0.76 \ (9) \text{ to } 1.00 \ (9) \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3},$  $w = 1/\sigma(F), \ \sigma(F) = [\sigma(F_c^2) + 1.03F^2]^{1/2} - |F|, \ \sigma(F_c^2)$ being the standard deviation of  $F^2$  determined from counter statistics; corrections made for the anomalous dispersion of iridium.

Intensity statistics showed Y to be non-centrosymmetric; atomic positions determined by direct methods (*MULTAN*; Germain, Main & Woolfson, 1971) and from Fourier syntheses, structure refined by least squares (on F) assuming anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms, H atoms of bipyridine groups at calculated positions with  $U_{iso}$ = 0.1 Å<sup>2</sup>; perchlorate ions, which were highly disordered, were described by superposition of 4 half oxygen atoms on a freely rotating ClO<sub>2</sub> group;\* R(F) = 0.040, wR(F) = 0.059 for 490 variables and 2870 reflexions,  $S = 1.31, (\Delta/\sigma)_{max} 0.32, \Delta\rho$  from -0.7 (2) to 1.1 (2) e Å<sup>-3</sup>, w as for WA; imaginary part

<sup>\*</sup> bpy<sup>-</sup>- $C^{3}$ , N' = 2, 2'-bipyridin-3-yl-N'.

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of observed and calculated structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, coordinates for hydrogen atoms and close intermolecular distances, and the geometry of the perchlorate groups have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 39204 (30 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

 $U_{ra}$ 

Table 1.  $[Ir(bpy-C^3,N')(bpy)_2](ClO_4)_3$ .H<sub>2</sub>O (WA); fractional atomic coordinates (×10<sup>5</sup> for Ir, ×10<sup>4</sup> for other atoms) and  $U_{ea}$  (Å<sup>2</sup> ×10<sup>3</sup>)

The numbers of the atoms are 10r + c, where r is the ring number and c the chemical number.

$$U_{\rm eq} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

z

v

r

Ir	75704 (2)	55297 (2)	28440 (3)	43 (0-3)
Cl(1)	2641 (2)	5834 (2)	3325 (2)	72 (2)
CI(2)	9820 (2)	3411 (2)	953 (2)	77 (2)
C1(3)	4654 (3)	3152 (3)	1273 (3)	113 (3)
N(11)	7771 (6)	4326 (5)	3281 (6)	44 (6)
C(12)	7145 (7)	3972 (6)	3530 (7)	54 (8)
C(13)	7168 (7)	3171 (7)	3808 (9)	85 (9)
C(14)	7873 (10)	2693 (7)	3819 (11)	102 (12)
C(15)	8511 (9)	3042 (8)	3564 (11)	96 (12)
C(16)	8461 (7)	3875 (7)	3272 (9)	72 (9)
N(21)	6491 (5)	5277 (5)	3187 (7)	45 (6)
C(22)	6430 (6)	4513 (6)	3511 (7)	51 (7)
C(23)	5747 (7)	4263 (7)	3789 (9)	83 (8)
C(24)	5109 (8)	4785 (9)	3736 (10)	85 (11)
C(25)	5156 (7)	5568 (9)	3417 (10)	83 (10)
C(26)	5856 (7)	5820 (7)	3133 (9)	72 (9)
N(31)	7179 (5)	5121 (5)	1351 (6)	48 (6)
C(32)	7766 (7)	5139 (6)	846 (8)	52 (8)
C(33)	7583 (8)	4870 (7)	-157 (8)	66 (9)
C(34)	6790 (9)	4569 (7)	-670 (9)	82 (10)
C(35)	6203 (7)	4537 (7)	-171 (9)	70 (9)
C(36)	6415 (7)	4823 (6)	832 (8)	59 (8)
N(41)	8664 (5)	5681 (4)	2455 (7)	49 (6)
C(42)	8590 (6)	5475 (6)	1452 (8)	49 (7)
C(43)	9270(7)	5563(7)	1103 (9)	67(9)
C(44)	10025 (7)	5886 (7)	1/69 (11)	79 (10)
C(45) C(46)	10080 (7)	6103 (7) 6004 (7)	2748 (10)	79 (10)
C(40) N(51)	9384 (0)	5994 (7)	3073 (9)	04 (8) 56 (7)
C(52)	8075 (6)	6934 (7)	4300 (7)	50 (7)
C(52)	8461 (8)	7774(7)	4323 (8) 5230 (10)	75 (9)
C(53)	8828 (8)	6840 (9)	6128 (10)	93 (12)
C(55)	8779 (8)	5984 (8)	6096 (9)	83 (10)
C(56)	8409 (7)	5590 (7)	5182 (8)	67 (8)
N(61)	7325 (5)	6775 (4)	2493 (7)	51 (6)
C(62)	7675 (6)	7274 (6)	3357 (9)	60 (9)
C(63)	7586 (8)	8116 (7)	3172 (11)	87 (12)
C(64)	7161 (8)	8443 (8)	2226 (11)	89 (11)
C(65)	6812(7)	7910(7)	1452 (10)	78 (10)
C(66)	6911 (7)	7085 (6)	1562 (9)	65 (9)
O(1)	3168 (6)	5219 (6)	3950 (8)	126 (8)
O(2)	2172 (6)	6192 (7)	3907 (8)	128 (9)
O(3)	3167 (7)	6422 (7)	3086 (9)	150(11)
O(4)	2111 (6)	5463 (6)	2413 (7)	124 (8)
0(5)	9178 (6)	3720 (5)	76 (7)	110(7)
O(6)	10084 (7)	2659 (6)	731 (10)	155 (11)
O(1)	10535 (6)	3967 (6)	1190 (8)	125 (8)
	9333 (7)	3398 (10)	1//9 (8)	180 (12)
	3741 (26)	3434 (29)	765 (34)	303 (07)
0(10)	4779 (14)	2464 (15)	235 (10)	133 (23)
0(12)	3808 (14)	2909 (15)	008 (17)	243 (38)
0(13)	4639 (19)	4014 (13)	1625 (20)	192 (23)
O(14)	5364 (17)	2820 (18)	1870 (20)	192 (30)
O(15)	4717 (19)	2780 (14)	2296 (17)	164 (27)
O(16)	5088 (30)	3645 (19)	1141 (33)	311 (66)
H,O(1)	6099 (18)	2517(11)	4903 (18)	391 (30)

of the anomalous dispersion for iridium included as  $\eta f''$ and  $\eta$  refined as a least-squares variable;  $\eta$  was expected to be +1 or -1 depending on whether the polarity had been chosen correctly (Rogers, 1981), value obtained -0.94 (3); no extinction correction deemed necessary; structure solved and refined assuming monoclinic space group Cc with a = 22.397 (8), b = 30.387 (11), c = 18.853 (7) Å,  $\beta = 119.77$  (2)° and Z = 12; transformation matrix from monoclinic to trigonal cell is (0.0, -1.0, 0.0/ -0.5, 0.5, 1.0/ -1.0, 0.0, -1.0); final refinement carried out in trigonal space group; fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic thermal parameters are given in Table 2.

Calculations carried out on a VAX-780 computer; atomic scattering factors from *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1974) for Ir, Cromer & Mann (1968) for Cl, O, N and C, and Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965) for H; anomalous-dispersion correction for Ir from Cromer & Liberman (1970).

**Discussion.** In WA the iridium atom is coordinated in a distorted octahedron. There is no evidence for either a covalently bonded water molecule or for a monodentate bipyridine. There remains the question as to whether one of the pyridine rings is bonded to iridium via a carbon atom as was suggested by WBS. It should be possible to distinguish C from N from the anomalous thermal parameters obtained on refining with the incorrect scattering factors. For WB the ratio of B(1)/B(3) was 0.68 when the atoms were correctly assigned and 1.8 to 2.7 (Fig. 2a) when C and N were interchanged; for Y (Fig. 2b) a mean value of 0.70 was obtained. For WA (Fig. 2c) refinement assuming C bonding for rings 1 and 2 gave a ratio of only 0.31, whilst N bonding gave 0.86 which is much smaller than the value from WB. The 'N' atoms of these rings have very similar thermal parameters which implies that they are of a similar type, *i.e.* both N or both C, or that there is disorder so that one sees the mean of C and N. Refinement with mean values for both 'N' and 'C'(3) gave a ratio of 0.53; the ratios for the other atoms range from 0.59 to 0.76 with a mean value of 0.70. Thus the model with both rings C bonded can be discarded, but that with both rings N bonded or the disordered model cannot be distinguished from the thermal parameters alone. All the refinements gave the same R values. In WB the Ir-N bonds trans to C were long. For WA the two longest Ir-N bonds are the two trans to rings 1 and 2, with values 2.072 (7) and 2.092 (7) Å, which compare with 2.092 (4) Å, the mean of an ordinary and a long Ir-N bond in WB, i.e. the value which would be expected if there were disorder. The other Ir-N bonds range from 2.035 (7) to 2.045 (8) Å. Short bonds are not observed between Ir and rings 1 and 2, since when C and N are almost superimposed the refinement will tend to locate the atom close to the position of the heavier atom. WBS also find the two Ir-N bonds trans to the carbonbonded bipyridine to be the longest. Thus, the evidence from both suggests that in WA there is one, and only one, iridium to carbon bond, though disordered between two sites (Fig. 1d). This is in agreement with the fact that WA is a monobasic acid and that the corresponding base WB has one iridium to carbon bond. Bond distances and angles are listed in Table 3. The water molecule is 2.87 (2) Å from 'C'(3) of ring 1 and Table 2.  $[Ir(bpy)_3](ClO_4)_3.2\frac{1}{3}H_2O$  (Y); fractional atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^5$  for Ir,  $\times 10^4$  for other atoms) and  $U_{eq}$  (Å<sup>2</sup> × 10<sup>3</sup>)

The numbers of the atoms are 10r + c, where r is the ring number and c the chemical number.

#### $U_{eq}$ defined in Table 1.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{eo}$
Ir(1)	14471 (3)	-10943 (3)	228	64 (0.4)
N(11)	1805 (6)	-1246 (6)	729 (8)	75 (12)
C(12)	1758 (7)	-1113(7)	1312 (9)	70 (13)
C(13)	1961 (9)	-1209 (7)	1833 (11)	95 (16)
C(14)	2239 (9)	-1437 (9)	1738 (11)	105 (18)
C(15)	2313 (7)	-1575 (8)	1138 (11)	90 (14)
C(16)	2087 (7)	-1455 (8)	648 (9)	84 (15)
N(21)	1259 (6)	-814 (6)	793 (8)	74 (13)
C(22)	1448 (7)	-867 (7)	1376 (9)	72 (13)
C(23)	1340 (9)	-682 (10)	1924 (9)	101 (18)
C(24)	1061 (13)	-449 (10)	1865 (14)	130 (27)
C(25)	843 (10)	-422 (9)	1296 (13)	116 (21)
C(26)	978 (9)	-612 (9)	788 (10)	93 (17)
N(31)	900 (6)	-1821(5)	111 (7)	70 (10)
C(32)	937 (11)	-2174(9)	-307(12)	96 (19)
C(33)	587 (11)	-2672 (10)	-242(14)	108 (22)
C(34)	204 (10)	-2829 (8)	206 (13)	110 (18)
C(35)	178 (7)	-2499 (10)	617 (10)	97 (16)
C(36)	528 (9)	-1977 (8)	526 (11)	86 (16)
N(41)	1636 (7)	-1431(7)	-682 (7)	65 (11)
C(42)	1364 (9)	-1942 (11)	-723 (10)	73 (17)
C(43)	1461 (10)	-2225 (9)	-1126 (14)	109 (19)
C(44)	1874 (14)	-1939 (15)	-1497 (12)	108 (24)
C(45)	2157 (10)	-1449(12)	-1481(12)	108 (20)
C(46)	2033 (10)	-1197 (8)	-1065(10)	94 (16)
N(51)	2010 (7)	-374 (6)	-156 (8)	78 (12)
C(52)	1900 (9)	-99 (8)	-532 (10)	82 (16)
C(53)	2248 (10)	397 (9)	-690 (10)	96 (17)
C(54)	2713 (12)	612 (9)	-421 (15)	120 (22)
C(55)	2842 (9)	338 (10)	-17(13)	109 (19)
C(56)	2468 (9)	-169 (8)	124 (10)	87 (16)
N(61)	1109 (7)	-863 (7)	-619 (6)	71 (12)
C(62)	1391 (11)	-371 (9)	797 (10)	89 (18)
C(63)	1167 (12)	-161 (10)	-1178 (13)	114 (22)
C(64)	706 (15)	-439 (13)	-1389 (12)	129 (24)
C(65)	400 (9)	-926 (12)	-1236 (11)	113 (20)
C(66)	644 (10)	-1140 (8)	-852 (11)	91 (16)
Cl(1)	88 (3)	-2539 (3)	-1906 (3)	108 (5)
O(1)	-176 (16)	-2903 (21)	-1377 (25)	253 (49)
O(2)	57 (50)	-2153 (21)	-1778 (27)	753 (114)
O(3)	580(11)	-2212 (25)	-1840 (13)	315 (45)
O(4)	-13 (16)	-2574 (14)	-2567 (26)	233 (44)
Cl(2)	-914 (3)	582 (3)	428 (4)	123 (6)
O(5)	-714 (12)	335 (12)	784 (17)	144 (30)
O(6)	-764 (14)	1083 (12)	666 (17)	141 (32)
O(7)	-715 (31)	687 (18)	-137 (21)	307 (68)
O(8)	-1421 (12)	306 (13)	361 (31)	280 (54)
CI(3)	1988 (3)	-469 (2)	-2495 (3)	109 (5)
O(9)	1911 (22)	-126 (16)	-2912 (22)	247 (54)
O(10)	1960 (7)	-856 (9)	2951 (10)	79 (16)
0(11)	2440 (11)	-339 (10)	-2130 (14)	162 (23)
O(12)	1532 (9)	-787 (11)	-2106 (9)	97 (18)
H <sub>2</sub> O(1)	-848 (8)	-1969 (8)	-1815 (9)	170 (18)
$H_2O(2)$	-441 (8)	-1446 (8)	2213 (10)	181 (19)
$H_2O(3)$	-3333	-6667	636 (31)	302 (35)
	•			

3.19 (2) Å from 'C'(3) of ring 2, both distances being short enough for hydrogen bonding; WBS\* find distances of 2.86 and 3.03 Å. In bpy.2HSO<sub>3</sub>F (Belin, Roziere & Potier, 1981), the N-H...O distance is 2.745 (5) Å, the O is 3.335 (5) Å from C(3) of the other ring. The infrared spectrum of bpy.2HSO<sub>3</sub>F contains a maximum at  $ca 2550 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (Belin, Pichvay & Potier, 1980) which is attributed to hydrogen

Table 3.  $[Ir(bpy-C^3,N')(bpy)_2](ClO_4)_3$ . H<sub>2</sub>O (WA): bond lengths (Å) and angles  $(\circ)$ 

The disorder in rings 1 and 2 is such that N(1) and C(3) are occupied by C or N.

E.s.d.'s are 0.007 Å for Ir-N, 0.01 Å for C-C or C-N, and 0.02 Å for C–H<sub>2</sub>O.

Ring       1       2       3       4       5       6         r-N(1)       2-043       2-044       2-036       2-072       2-045       2-0         N(1)-C(2)       1-33       1-3			2					
$\frac{1}{2} - N - C, C - N - C, N - C - C and C - C - C angles (e.s.d.'s 1°)  Ring 1 2 3 4 5 6  r - N(1) - C(6) 125 126 126 125 126 12 r - N(1) - C(2) 115 115 116 115 114 11 C(6) - C(2) 120 119 117 120 120 12 N(1) - C(6) - C(3) 119 120 123 122 121 119 120 12 C(5) - C(4) - C(3) 119 119 119 120 122 122 120 122 10 120 110 11$	Ri r-N(1) N(1)-C(1) N(1)-C(1) C(2)-C(1) C(3)-C(1) C(4)-C(1) C(2)-C(1) C(2)-C(1)	(2) (6) (3) (4) (5) (6) (2') ((12) (2) (2)	1 2.043 1.33 1.37 1.36 1.41 1.35 1.41 1.48	2 2.044 1.33 1.36 1.37 1.35 1.36 1.40	3 2.036 1.36 1.34 1.37 1.38 1.36 1.38 1.47	4 2.072 1.37 1.33 1.37 1.40 1.35 1.38	5 2.045 1.33 1.34 1.39 1.37 1.40 1.36 1.46	6 2.092 1.39 1.33 1.39 1.36 1.34 1.36
N(51) N(51) N(51) N(51) 3.0 2.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 Ring number (2) 2.0 0.0 Ring number (2) 1.0 0.0 Ring number (3) (2)	r-N(1)- r-N(1)- r-N(1)- C(6)-N(1)- C(6)-N(1)- C(5)-C( C(3)-C( C(3)-C( C(3)-C( C(3)-C( N(1)-C( N-Ir-1) N(11) N(11) N(11) N(11) N(11) N(11) N(11) N(11)	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	87 (2) C, N-C-( 1 125 115 120 119 129 122 122 116 e.s.d.'s 0.3 ((21) 9.4	C and C- 2 126 115 119 120 120 121 121 122 124 115 °) N(31) 87.0 97.9	H <sub>2</sub> O(1)- -C-C angl 3 126 116 117 123 119 119 122 123 115 N(41) 96.4 175.0 79.1	C(23) 3 es (e.s.d.? 4 125 115 120 120 120 120 120 120 125 115 N(( 96 88 87 173 944	19 (2)         \$ 1°)         5         126         114         120         121         120         118         122         1200         118         51)         .4         .5         .2         .7	6 126 112 122 119 123 117 123 115 130 115 N(61) 175.5 97.2 96.4 87.2
(£) 2.0 (£) 1.0 (£) R, 1.0 (£)	B (1) /B (3)	3.0 2.0 1.0		Ring	n u m b (a)	e r		
(b) $(c)$	B (1) /B (3)	2.0 1.0 0.0 R			() 2.0 - 1.0 - 0.0 -	Ring	n u m	b e r



Fig. 2. Histograms showing B(1)/B(3) for (a) WB: cross hatched, all rings bonded via N; blank, rings 5, 7 and 13 bonded via C (there are three cations in the asymmetric unit); (b) Y: all rings bonded via N; (c) WA: cross hatched, all rings bonded via N; blank, rings 1 and 2 bonded via C; dotted, disordered model.

<sup>\*</sup> The published value of 2.75 Å by WBS is a misprint, 2.86 Å is the value obtained from the coordinates deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

bonding; in comparison WA shows a band at 2650 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Watts, Harrington & Van Houton, 1977) which is absent in the base form. Watts *et al.* also describe a dibasic complex which they formulate as  $[Ir(bpy)_2(H_2O)_2]^{3+}$  which absorbs at 2680 cm<sup>-1</sup>, whereas the basic form does not; this presumably has two Ir-C bonds.

The intraligand N-Ir-N and N-Ir-'N' angles range from 79.1 to  $80.5^{\circ}$ , the interligand angles from 87.0 to  $88.5^{\circ}$  and from 94.7 to  $97.9^{\circ}$  (e.s.d.'s  $0.3^{\circ}$ ), the larger angles being between bonds related by the pseudo-threefold axis. The perchlorates are tightly bound to the cation; there are 15 perchlorate-oxygen to carbon distances less than 3.2 Å. Short water-O

### Table 4. $[Ir(bpy)_3](ClO_4)_3.2\frac{1}{3}H_2O(Y)$ : bond lengths (Å) and angles (°)

E.s.d.'s are 0.015–0.019 Å for Ir–N, 0.02–0.03 Å for C–C or C–N.

Ring	1		2	3	4	5	6
Ir-N(1)	2.019	2	·032	2.000	2.032	2.026	2.019
N(1)-C(2)	1.32	1	. 39	1.43	1-35	1.31	1-35
N(1)-C(6)	1.31	1	-28	1.31	1-32	1.34	1.32
C(2)-C(3)	1.35	1	. 39	1.35	1.34	1.38	1-39
C(3)-C(4)	1.35	1	• 35	1.38	1.36	1.35	1.30
C(4)-C(5)	1.38	1	.38	1.35	1.29	1.37	1.33
C(5)-C(6)	1.38	1	.37	1.41	1.33	1.41	1.45
C(2)–C(2')	1-47			1.42		1.45	
Ir-N-C, C-N	-C, N-0	C-C	and C-	C-C ang	les (e.s.d.	`s 2°)	
Ring		1	2	3	4	5	6
1r - N(1) - C(6)		125	126	123	126	123	126
lr - N(1) - C(2)		117	115	116	117	117	115
C(6)-N(1)-C(2)		118	118	121	117	120	119
N(1)-C(6)-C(5)		124	128	122	122	120	123
C(6)-C(5)-C(4)		115	113	117	117	118	114
C(5)-C(4)-C(3)		122	123	122	126	121	125
C(4)-C(3)-C(2)		117	118	121	112	118	121
C(3)-C(2)-N(1)		124	119	118	125	123	119
C(3)-C(2)-C(2')	)	121	129	129	120	123	126
N(1)-C(2)-C(2')	)	116	113	113	114	114	115
N-Ir-N angles	(e.s.d.'s	0.6-0	)·8°)				
	N(21)	ľ	N(31)	N(41)	N	(51)	N(61)
N(11)	79.1		85.7	95-6	9	7.0	172.7
N(21)			97.8	173-8	8	7.5	94.8
N(31)				78-5	17	4.4	99.2
N(41)					9	6.3	90.7
N(51)							78-6

distances, 2.71 (4) and 2.74 (3) Å, indicate hydrogen bonding.

The structure is very similar to that described by WBS except that we find one of the perchlorate ions to be disordered. A half-normal probability plot (Abrahams & Keve, 1971) for atomic coordinates, other than those of the disordered oxygen atoms, gave a straight line with a gradient of 1.76 (2). E.s.d.'s were assumed to be the same for the two sets of coordinates since the data base does not contain standard deviations.

Y contains  $[Ir(bpy)_3]^{3+}$  ions in which the iridium atom is coordinated in a distorted octahedron to six nitrogen atoms of the bipyridines. The Ir–N distances range from 2.00 (2) to 2.02 (2) Å. The intraligand N–Ir–N angles range from 78.5 to 79.1°, the interligand angles from 85.7 to 90.7° and from 94.8 to 99.2° (e.s.d.'s 0.6–0.8°). Bond distances and angles are given in Table 4. As in WA the perchlorate ions are tightly bound to the cation; there are 13 perchlorateoxygen to carbon distances less than 3.2 Å. Unlike WA there are no short contacts (<3.6 Å) between water and C(3) or C(3').

Ir-N and Ir-C bond distances for several iridium(III) complexes are compared in Table 5. The normal distance between iridium and the nitrogen of a pyridine group is about 2.04 Å; this is as expected slightly shorter than the distance to the tetrahedral nitrogen of ethylenediamine. Carbon exhibits a large *trans* effect which leads to a lengthening of Ir-N bonds by about 0.1 Å.

We are indebted to Dr G. Nord of the University of Copenhagen for the crystals of  $[Ir(bpy)_3](ClO_4)_{3}$ .- $2\frac{1}{3}H_2O$ , to Dr R. J. Watts of the University of California, Santa Barbara, for the crystals of  $[Ir(bpy-C^3,N')(bpy)_2](ClO_4)_3$ .H<sub>2</sub>O, and to Professor G. S. Sheldrick of the University of Göttingen for showing that  $[Ir(bpy)_3](ClO_4)_3$ . $2\frac{1}{3}H_2O$  could be indexed using a trigonal unit cell.

Table 5. Ir<sup>III</sup>-X distances (Å), X = N, N, (N trans to C) or C; distances to carbonyl groups are not included

		N	N,	С	References
$[Ir(bpy-C^3,N')(bpy)_2](ClO_4)_3,H_2O$	WA	2.042 (4)	2.082 (5)*		(a)
$[Ir(bpy-C^3,N')(bpy)_2](ClO_4)_3,H_2O$	WA	2.03	2.08*		( <i>b</i> )
$[Ir(bpy^{-}-C^{3},N')(bpy),](ClO_{4}), H_{2}O$	WB	2.053 (5)	2.131 (5)	1.980 (6)	(c)
$[Ir(bpy)_{1}](ClO_{4})_{1}.2\frac{1}{4}H_{2}O$	Y	2.021 (6)			(a)
Chlorobis[2-(diphenoxyphosphinooxy) phenyl](triphen	yl phosphite)Ir			2.105 (7)†	( <i>d</i> )
Chlorobis(y-picoline)[tris(o-tolyl) phosphite-P,C,C]Ir			2.170 (7)	2.045 (7)	(e)
(bpy)carbonyldiiodo(methyl formato)Ir			$2 \cdot 14(2)$	2.05 (2)	S
[Ir(en)(enH)Cl,]Cl,H,O‡		2.076 (4)			(g)
$[(en)_2(OH)Ir(OH)Ir(H_2O)(en)_2]^{4+\ddagger}$		2.071 (3)			(g)

References: (a) This work; (b) Wickramasinghe, Bird & Serpone (1981); (c) Nord, Hazell, Hazell & Farver (1983): (d) Guss & Mason (1972); (e) Nolte, Singleton & van der Stok (1977); (f) Albano, Bellon & Sansoni (1969); (g) Rasmussen (1983).

\* Disordered, overlap of N and N<sub>1</sub>.

† trans to P.

 $\ddagger$  en = ethylenediamine.

#### References

- ABRAHAMS, S. C. & KEVE, E. T. (1971). Acta Cryst. A27, 157-165.
- ALBANO, V. G., BELLON, P. L. & SANSONI, M. (1969). Inorg. Chem. 8, 298-303.
- BELIN, C., PICHVAY, S. A. R. & POTIER, J. (1980). C. R. Acad. Sci. Sér. C, 291, 53-56.
- BELIN, C., ROZIERE, J. & POTIER, J. (1981). Acta Cryst. B37, 1306-1309.
- BUSING, W. R., MARTIN, K. O. & LEVY, H. A. (1962). ORFLS. Report ORNL-TM-305. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- CROMER, D. T. & LIBERMAN, D. (1970). J. Chem. Phys. 53, 1891–1898.
- CROMER, D. T. & MANN, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321-324.
- FLYNN, C. M. & DEMAS, J. N. (1974). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 96, 1959–1960.
- GERMAIN, G., MAIN, P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1971). Acta Cryst. A27, 368–376.
- GILLARD, R. D., LANCASHIRE, P. J. & WILLIAMS, P. A. (1979). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 190–192.
- GUSS, J. M. & MASON, R. (1972). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2193–2196.
- HAZELL, A. C. (1981). Abstr. 17th Danish Crystallogr. Meet., Copenhagen, p. 41.

- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- KAHL, J. L., HANCK, K. & DEARMOND, K. E. (1979). J. Inorg. Chem. 41, 495–502.
- KUTAL, C. (1978). American Chemical Society Advances in Chemistry Series No. 168, edited by M. S. WRIGHTON, pp. 158–173. Washington: American Chemical Society.
- LEHMANN, M. S. & LARSEN, F. K. (1974). Acta Cryst. A30, 580-584.
- MARTIN, B. & WAIND (NORD), G. (1958). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 4284-4288.
- Nolte, M., Singleton, E. & VAN DER STOK, E. (1977). J. Organomet. Chem. 142, 387-395.
- Nord, G., HAZELL, A. C., HAZELL, R. G. & FARVER, O. (1983). Inorg. Chem. 22, 3429-3434.
- RASMUSSEN, B. S. (1983). Abstr. 19th Danish Crystallogr. Meet., Sandbjerg, p. 23.
- ROGERS, D. (1981). Acta Cryst. A37, 734-741.
- SPELLANE, P. J. & WATTS, R. J. (1981). Inorg. Chem. 20, 3561-3563.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175–3187.
- WATTS, R. J., HARRINGTON, J. S. & VAN HOUTON, J. (1977). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 2179–2187.
- WICKRAMASINGHE, W. A., BIRD, P. H. & SERPONE, N. (1981). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1284–1286.

### Acta Cryst. (1984). C40, 811–812

# Structure of Hexaaquairon(II) Bis{tris[1,3-dimethyl-2,4,5,6(1H,3H)-pyrimidinetetrone 5-oximato]ferrate(II)} Dodecahydrate, [Fe(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>.2[Fe(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>-</sup>.12H<sub>2</sub>O

BY C. RUIZ-VALERO, A. MONGE,\* E. GUTIÉRREZ-PUEBLA AND E. GUTIÉRREZ-RIOS

Instituto de Química Inorgánica Elhuyar, Serrano 113, Madrid-6, Spain

(Received 8 August 1983; accepted 6 February 1984)

Abstract.  $M_r = 1596.6$ , hexagonal,  $R\overline{3}$ , a = 16.329 (3), c = 21.275 (9) Å, V = 4913 (2) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 3,  $D_x = 1.62$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\lambda$ (Mo Ka) = 0.71069 Å,  $\mu$ (Mo Ka) = 0.766 mm<sup>-1</sup>, T = 298 K, F(000) = 2484, R = 0.049for 2078 observed reflexions. The two Fe atoms are located in special positions along the threefold axis, and exhibit octahedral coordination. An array of cations, each lying between two anions, is repeated along the threefold axis. Coordinated and uncoordinated water molecules contribute to structural packing through hydrogen bonds.

**Introduction.** Relatively little work has been carried out on complexes of organic nitroso ligands with transitionmetal ions, and this has been almost entirely concerned with ligands of the *o*-nitrosophenolate or isonitroso ketone type. The available structural data are about salts of the type  $M^+[\text{Fe}V_3]^-.x\text{H}_2\text{O}$  where  $M^+$  is an alkali-metal cation and V the violurate anion (Raston & White, 1976).

We now report the crystal structure of the title compound, prepared by J. D. López González and co-workers, Department of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Granada (Spain).

**Experimental.** Black metallic crystal,  $0.7 \times 0.4 \times 0.3$  mm. Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 F automatic diffractometer. Cell dimensions by least-squares fitting of the  $\theta$  values of 25 reflexions.  $\omega/2\theta$  scans. No appreciable drop in intensity of three standard reflections, checked every hour. 6050 reflexions within  $1^{\circ} < \theta < 30^{\circ}$  and *hkl* range 0,0,-29 to 22,22,29 collected; 3417 unique reflections;  $R_{int} = 0.039$ ; 2078 considered observed  $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ . Lorentz-polarization correction; no absorption correction,  $\mu R = 0.54$ . Scattering factors for neutral atoms and anomalous-dispersion corrections for

© 1984 International Union of Crystallography

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed at: Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Complutense, Madrid-3, Spain.